

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,212	12/04/2001	Jeong S. Lee	ACSC 60308 (2864)	7883
7	590 07/07/2003			
GUNTHER O. HANKE, ESQ.			EXAMINER	
FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			DESANTO, MATTHEW F	
	ITER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR GELES, CA 90045 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3763	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Λ.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/010,212	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew F DeSanto	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	pril 2003 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8,10-15,20,22,34 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,16,23-33,35 and 37-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-19 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	1.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8.	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/010,212

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections -

35 USC § 102 or 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 9, 16, 25, 27 33, and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Estrada et al. (USPN 6193686).

Estrada et al. discloses a balloon (15) catheter with an elongated shaft having an inflation lumen, a guide-wire receiving lumen, a proximal shaft section comprising a proximal tubular member, a distal shaft section comprising an outer tubular member, and an inner tubular member and a reinforcing member (20) formed of a first polymeric material (braided polyimide) having a glass transition temperature greater than the glass transition temperature of a second polymeric material (Nylon 12) forming the distal

Art Unit: 3763

portion of the proximal tubular member. (Figures 1 – 11, Column 5, lines 8-45, and entire reference).

The examiner would like to note, that since the materials are the same for the first and second polymeric material in this reference as the claimed invention, it would have been obvious as well as taken only routinely skill in the art to modify the first and second polymeric material to have the given characteristics of the claimed polymeric materials.

4. Claims 1-5, 9, 16, 25, 27 – 33, and 37-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Happ et al. (USPN 6575958).

Happ et al. discloses a balloon (22) catheter with an elongated shaft having an inflation lumen, a guide-wire receiving lumen, a proximal shaft section comprising a proximal tubular member, a distal shaft section comprising an outer tubular member, and an inner tubular member and a reinforcing member (94) formed of a first polymeric material (braided polyimide) having a glass transition temperature greater than the glass transition temperature of a second polymeric material (Nylon 12) forming the distal portion of the proximal tubular member. (Figures 1 – 19, Column 4, line 65 – Column 5, line 4, and entire reference).

The examiner would like to note, that since the materials are the same for the first and second polymeric material in this reference as the claimed invention, it would have been obvious as well as taken only routinely skill in the art to modify the first and

Art Unit: 3763

second polymeric material to have the given characteristics of the claimed polymeric materials.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-5, 9, 23-33, 35, and 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verbeek (USPN 5690613), and further in view of Rau et al. (USPN 6024722) and in view of Wallace et al. (USPN 6495127)

Verbeek discloses a balloon (35) catheter with an elongated shaft having an inflation lumen, a guide-wire receiving lumen, a proximal shaft section comprising a proximal tubular member (50) with a mandrel (30), a distal shaft section comprising an outer tubular member (80), and an inner tubular member (70) and a reinforcing member (13,17) formed of a first polymeric material polymeric reinforcing member around or within the proximal portion of the inner tubular member or the distal portion of the proximal tubular member, wherein a second polymeric material is used to form the distal portion of the proximal tubular member, as well as having a mandrel within the inflation lumen. (Figures 1A, 1B, 1C, and entire reference), but the reference fails to disclose the polymeric reinforcing member is formed from a thermoset or thermoplastic polyimide, and wherein the second polymeric material is formed from a nylon or polyether block amide, polyurethane, and adhesive polymer and wherein the first polymeric material has a higher glass transition temperature then the second polymeric material.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,212 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Rau et al. discloses the use of thermoplastics and thermoset polyimide in balloon catheters because of the high strength and flexibility. (Column 1, line 32-45, and entire reference)

Wallace et al. discloses the specific teaching of how using different glass transition temperatures for different polymers will result in the formation of a reinforcing structure. (Column 13, line 16-35, and entire reference)

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Verbeek with the teachings of Rau et al. and Wallace et al. because Rau et al. discloses the advantage of using a thermoset polyimide in a catheter wall because of the high strength and flexibility and Wallace et al. discloses of using two polymer with different glass transition temperatures, wherein the one of the polymeric materials has a higher glass transition temperature and thus will be used as the reinforcing structure.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 17, 18, 19 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claim 41 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to all the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on 3/18/03 and 4/18/03 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609(B)(2)(i). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F DeSanto whose telephone number is 1-703-305-3292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 1-703-308-3552.

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

June 30, 2003

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700